SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO: I will say how. May I draw the attention of the House? This matter was discussed in this House and was actually brought forward before this House in the budget speech of the then Finance Minister in February, 1986. Number one is this matter has already been discussed in this House. Number two is this was in the interest of the employees as a result of the change brought in an increased rate of interest from 11 per cent which they were getting and otherwise would get. Even at this stage under the Post Office Deposit Scheme from 11 per cent, they are now getting 12 per cent.

As far as the complaint of the hon. Member from Maharshtra is concerned, these non-exempted establishments were depositing these saving amounts with the Commissioner in Delhi and the Commissioner was depositing them in Maharashtra. The benefits of this entire deposits which were made from all over the country through the Commissioner without any effort from the Maharashtra Government were going to the Maharashtra Government for the purpose of small savings loans on net deposits. There were complaints from other States in this regard.

As far as the difficulties faced by the Maharashtra Government are concerned, just to meet some of them and other States also, we have raised the quota on which loans on small savings can be obtained by the State Governments from two-thirds to three-fourths. As a result of this increase, Rs. 154 crores have been given as additional loan to all the States.

Apart from this, to encourage the State Governments it is very important that the State Governments themselves should try to mobilise the small savings rather than waiting for the Commissioner.

SHRI RAOOF VALIULLAH: You raise the deposits from 30 per cent to 80 per cent and special deposits are not to be.. (*Interruptions*).. with the State Governments. How can they mobilise?

श्री प्रमोद महाजन : महोदया, मैं इस पर आधे घंटे की चर्चा की मांग करता हूं।

SHRI RAOOF VALIULLAH: Madam, let me answer this question fully. I am just on the limited point. As far as particular employees funds are concerned... (*Interruptions*).....

SHRI RAOOF VALIULLAH: Madam, this concerns not only Maharashtra but even Gujarat. Therefore, there should be an half-an-hour discussion on this question.

There should be a discussion on this. In respect of the Small Savings Policy of the Central Government, all the State Governments have objected. Even in 1980 Budget speech, I had mentioned that special deposits have been increased from 30 per cent to 80 per cent. Madam, half an hour discussion should be allowed.

उपसभापति : अगर आप हाफ एन आवर डिसकशन चाहते हैं तो इसके लिए नोटिस दीजिए।

Question Hour is over.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

राष्ट्रीय वस्त्र निगम द्वारा विनिर्मित कपड़े पर राज सहायता

*383. श्री रशीद मसूद : क्या वस्त्र मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या यह सच है कि केन्द्रीय सरकार राष्ट्रीय वस्त्र निगम द्वारा विनिर्मित कंट्रोल कपड़े पर राज सहायता देती है ;

(ख) यदि हां, तो दी जाने वाली राज सहायता की दर क्या है तथा कितनी अवधि के लिए यह निर्धारित की गयी थी ; और

(ग) क्या अब सरकार इस तरह से दी जाने वाली राज सहायता की राशि के संबंध में पुनर्विचार करने का विचार कर रही है ; यदि हां, तो इस संबंध में ब्यौरा क्या है ?

वस्त्र मंत्री (श्री राल निंसमिर्या) . (क) जी हां ।

(ख) उपदान की मौजूदा दरें निम्नलिखित हैं जिनकी आवश्यकता पड़ने पर किसी भी समय समीक्षा की जा सकती है

कंट्रोल के कपड़े की मदें	उपदान की दर (प्रति वर्ग-मीटर)
	(रु०)
सूती धोती . . .	2.00
सूती साड़ी . . .	2.00
सूती लठ्ठा . . .	1.50
पोलीस्टर सूती कपड़ा .	2.50

(ग) कंट्रोल के कपड़े पर उपदान की कुल राशि तथा उपदान की दरों की सरकार द्वारा समीक्षा नहीं की जा रही है । फिर भी पालीस्टर सूती कपड़े के संबंध में उपदान की मौजूदा दर तदर्थ आधार पर निर्धारित की गई है तथा अन्तिम रूप से निर्धारण के समय इसमें परिवर्तन किया जा सकता है ।

Central Government Pay Scales for Employees of Union Territory of Chandigarh

*385. SHRI PAWAN KUMAR BANSAL: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to refer to the answers to Unstarred Question 2615 and 3356 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 18th August and 8th December, 1987 respectively and state what are the reasons for not implementing the recommendations of the Fourth Pay Commission in respect of the employees of the Chandigarh Union Territory Administration so far?

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI BUTA SINGH): The Fourth Pay Commission had excluded Chandigarh Union Territory from its purview. As explained in the answer to Rajya Sabha Question 3356 on 8th December, 1987, the pay pattern of Chandigarh Union Territory requires restructuring as a whole, as they are at variance with the pre-revised pay scales applicable to other Union Territories. The matter is still under consideration of Government.

Demarcation of Mizoram-Assam Boundary

*387. SHRI CHITTA BASU: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether the People's Conference of Mizoram has placed its demand for a fresh demarcation of Mizoram's boundary with Assam's Barak Valley districts; and

(b) if so, what is Central Government's reaction thereto?

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI BUTA SINGH): (a) It has come to the notice of the Government that in a meeting held on the 9th December, 1987 at Aizawl the People's Conference Party decided to press for the solution of, what they call, the Mizoram-Cachar (Assam) boundary dispute.

(b) The boundaries of the State of Mizoram are defined under Section 3 of the State of Mizoram Act, 1986. Cases of encroachments, as and when they occur, are settled by mutual discussions between the officials of the State Government.